

Plan : (I) Motivation (matching objects in homological mirror symmetry)

(II) Invertible Polynomials (Kruezer-Skarke classification)
Milnor #'s, cleavers

(III) Symmetry Groups

(IV) Matrix factorizations (equiv. matrix factorization cat.)
BFK-decomposition, lit. rev.

(V) Main result (Gorenstein case, toy example, exc. objs)

Fukaya-Seidel cat
intersection pattern
of vanishing cycles

Non-compact mirror symmetry (Kontsevich, Orlov)
 $(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega^\top, 1) \leftrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, w, P_w)$
are mirror if proposed mirror of Berglund-Hübsch

matrix factorization

P_w -equiv Orlov cat

singular sheaves

on $w^{-1}(0)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{?} & \\
 FS(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega^\top) & \cong & mf(\mathbb{C}^n, w, P_w) \\
 \text{obj } \mathcal{C} & & \text{obj } \\
 \{L_i\} & \xrightarrow{\text{if } \{L_i\} \text{ generate}} & \{m_j\} \\
 \text{Seidel} & & \\
 \text{Li = Lefschetz thimbles} & & \\
 \parallel & \xrightarrow{\text{if formal}} & \parallel \\
 \text{End}(\bigoplus L_i) - \text{mod} & \cong & \text{End}(\bigoplus m_j) - \text{mod} \\
 & \text{A}_{\infty}\text{-cat} & \text{Dyckerhoff} \\
 & \text{?} & \\
 H_*(\text{End}(\bigoplus L_i)) - \text{mod} & \cong & H_*(\text{End}(\bigoplus m_j)) - \text{mod} \\
 & \text{alg} & \\
 & & \star \\
 & & \parallel \\
 & \xrightarrow{\text{if formal}} & \xrightarrow{\text{formal}} \\
 & & \\
 & & \{m_j\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{sky-scraper at the origin} \\ \text{twisted by } g \cdot P_w / \mathbb{C}_n \end{array} \right\}
 \end{array}$$

What conditions can we put on $\{m_j\}$ to get \star ?

Defn: $\{m_j\}$ is a strong exc. collection ($\Rightarrow \bigoplus m_j$ is tilting)

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(m_i, m_j[n]) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(m_i, m_j) & \text{if } i < j \\ \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}_{m_i} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

Main result [Favero-K-Kelly] full (i.e. it generates)

/ $mf(\mathbb{C}^n, w, P_w)$ has an exc. collection for w an invertible poly.
 (IV) (IV) (II) (III) but not necessarily strong
 strong in the Gorenstein case

Notation: fec := full exc collection

(II) Invertible Polynomials

Defn: $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_n]$ is invertible if $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ij}}$

and (1) $A = [a_{ij}] \in \text{mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is invertible

(2) w is quasi-homogeneous

i.e. $\exists q_1, q_n, d$ s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} q_j = d$
 weights degree

(i.e. if $\deg(x_j) = q_j$ then w is weighted homogeneous of deg. d)

(3) w is quasi-smooth, i.e. $\text{sing}(w) = \{0\}$

Ex and non-ex

(a) $w(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$ not sum of 2-monomials

(b) $w(x, y) = xy + \underbrace{x^2 y^3}_{\text{term dominates}}$ not quasi-homo

(c) $w(x, y) = x^2 y + x^3 = x^2(y+x)$ $\text{sing}(w) = \{x=0\}$ not. q.s.

(d) $w(x, y) = x^2 y + y^5$ invertible!

$$(1) \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = 10 \neq 0$$

$$(2) q_1=2, q_2=1, d=5$$

$$(3) \text{check: } V\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right) = \{0\}$$

Kreuzer-Skarke classification

Defn: Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ $g \in \mathbb{C}[x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m]$

The Thom-Sebastiani sum of f and g

$$\text{is } f \boxplus g (x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + g(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m})$$

Thm [Kreuzer-Skarke]

Let w be invertible. Then w is a Thom-Seb. sum
of "atomic" polynomials:

- (1) Fermat x^a \bullet $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n$ $a > 1$
- (2) Chain $x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n}$ $a_i > 1$
- (3) Loop $Chain + x_n^{a_n} x_1$ $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n$

Rem: Given $w \rightsquigarrow$ a directed graph with vertex i : for each variable x_i
an arrow $i \rightarrow j$ if w has a term
of the form $x_i^{a_i} x_j$

Example: ADE polynomials are invertible

e.g. $D_n = x^2 y + y^{n-1} + z^2$

length 1 chain Fermat

Defn: The Milnor # of w is the $\dim \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right)_{\parallel}$

of repeated roots

"
of roots of a monification

Defn: $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_n]$ are related by an elementary cleave w
 if $w|_{\{x_{n+1}=1\}} = f$ and $w|_{\{x_n=1\}} = g$ $\in \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_{n+1})$

↑
for this talk

- f, g are related by \leq cleave $\forall i$
 if $\exists f_1, f_m$ and $\exists w_i$ an elem. cleave relating f_i and f_{i+1}
 with $f = f_1$ and $g = f_m$

$n+1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n$

Prop: The n -loop + n -chain are related by the elementary cleave
 $w = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_1 x_{n+1}^b$

and both are related by a cleave to a sum of Fermats

PF | $w'_n := x_1^{a_1} x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^b$
 is an elem. cleave from the n -chain to
 the $n+1$ chain + Fermat 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n

1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n-1
 so $w'_n, w'_{n-1} \boxplus id_{x_n}, \dots, w'_2 \boxplus id_{x_3, \dots, x_n}$
 is a sequence of elem. cleaves from chain to a sum
 of Fermats □

Idea of the proof that $mf(\mathbb{C}^n, w, Tw)$ has an fec

(1) Reduce statement to $w = \text{chain, loop, Fermat}$

(2) Show if w, w' are related by a cleave } reduces to

and w has fec Σ_w then w' has fec $\Sigma_{w'}$ } Fermat

if (3) $m \in (\mathbb{C}^n, x^a, P_{x^a})$ has fec $\sum_{x^a} := \left\{ \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{x^i} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{x^{a-i}} \mathbb{C} \right\}_{i=1, a=1}^n$

$$\mu(w) = \mu(w')$$

(III) Symmetry groups let $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ $w' \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$

$$\mathcal{T}_w := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1} \mid w(\lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n x_n) = \lambda_{n+1} w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\}$$

Rem: If w is quasi-homo then $\exists q_j, d$ s.t.

$$w(\lambda^{q_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{q_n} x_n) = \lambda^d w(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_m &\xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{T}_w \\ \lambda &\mapsto (\lambda^{q_1}, \dots, \lambda^{q_n}, \lambda^d) \end{aligned}$$

in fact $\text{coker } (\phi)$
is torsion
for w inv.

\mathcal{T}_w acts on \mathbb{C}^n by projecting to first n factors

$$\mathcal{T}_{w \boxplus w'} \leftarrow \mathcal{T}_w \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathcal{T}_{w'}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{n+m+1}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_m^{n+m+2}$$



note: λ_{n+1} and λ'_{n+1}
could be different so glue them

So

$$\mathcal{T}_{w \boxplus w'} \cong \mathcal{T}_w \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathcal{T}_{w'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ex 1: } w = x^q & \quad P_w = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid (\lambda_1 x)^q = \lambda_2 x^q \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_1^q) \right\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{G}_m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ex 2: } w = x^{q_1} + y^{q_2} & \quad P_w = \mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{G}_m}^{\begin{smallmatrix} q_1, q_2 \\ \mathbb{G}_m \end{smallmatrix}} \mathbb{G}_m \\ &\cong \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(q_1, q_2)\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eg. } x^2 + y^2 & \quad P_w = \langle (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda^2), \begin{smallmatrix} \text{``} \\ \mathbb{G}_m \\ \text{``} \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} (-1, 1, 1) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \text{``} \end{smallmatrix} \rangle \\ \text{note: } (1, -1, 1) &= (-1, 1, 1) \cdot (-1, -1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ex 3: } w = x^2y + y^2z + z^2x & \quad \begin{smallmatrix} \text{primitive} \\ 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ root of unity} \end{smallmatrix} \\ P_w &= \langle (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda, \lambda^3), \begin{smallmatrix} \text{``} \\ \mathbb{G}_m \\ \text{``} \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} (S, S^{-1}, 1, S) \\ \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \\ \text{``} \end{smallmatrix} \rangle \\ &\cong \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

Upshot: P_w is computable for w invertible

Rem: $\lambda_{n+1=1} \rightarrow P_w - \text{cleave from } f \oplus g$

$$P_f \xrightarrow{\lambda_{n+1=1}} \downarrow \xrightarrow{\lambda_n=1} P_g$$

(IV) Equiv. matrix factorization category

Defn: A \mathbb{P}_w -equiv.
matrix factorization for $w \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_n]$ is

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \quad d_0, d_1 \text{ are } \mathbb{P}_w\text{-inv.}$$

P_0, P_1 are projective $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -modules
free of dim n_0, n_1

$$\text{so } d_1 \circ d_0 = \begin{bmatrix} w & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & w \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{n_0 \times n_1}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_n])$$

$$d_0 \circ d_1 = w \text{ Id}_{n_1 \times n_1}$$

Defn: $\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}, w, \mathbb{P}_w)$ = cat w/ obj above
morphisms are (homotopy classes of)
chain maps

Ex: For $w = x^q$ \mathbb{P}_w = Grm-equiv $\Leftrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{P}}_w \cong \mathbb{Z}$ -graded

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 E_1[1] & \xrightarrow{\cdot 1} & \mathbb{C}[x] & \xrightarrow{x} & \mathbb{C}[x][1] & \xrightarrow{x^{q-1}} & \mathbb{C}[x][a] \cong E_1 \\
 & \searrow \text{Serre functor} & \downarrow \times \text{ } \downarrow & & \downarrow \times \text{ } \downarrow & & \downarrow \times \text{ } \downarrow \\
 E_1[1] & \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} & \mathbb{C}[x] & \xrightarrow{x^2} & \mathbb{C}[x][2] & \xrightarrow{x^{q-2}} & \mathbb{C}[x][a] \cong E_2 \\
 & \downarrow & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_{a-1}[1] & \xrightarrow{\cdot a-1} & \mathbb{C}[x] & \xrightarrow{x^{a-1}} & \mathbb{C}[x][a-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[x][a] \cong E_{a-1}
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}, x^q, \mathbb{P}_{x^q}) \cong D^b(\text{Reps}(\cdots \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \cdots))$$

A cat. of kernels of equiv. mf II

Thm $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ballard - Favero - Katzarkov} \\ \text{Polishchuk - Vauntrob} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{MF and CFT}} \text{specialized + simplified}$

let w, w' be invertible.

derived cat of dg modules

$$mf(\mathbb{C}^{n+m}, w \boxplus w', P_{w \boxplus w'}) \xrightarrow{\text{even the vector product}} \\ mf(\mathbb{C}^n, w, P_w) \otimes mf(\mathbb{C}^m, w', P_{w'})$$

Cor: $\mathcal{E}_{w \# w'} = \mathcal{E}_w \times \mathcal{E}_{w'}$ is a fcc
 if $\mathcal{E}_w, \mathcal{E}_{w'}$ are fcc
 i.e. order lexicographically ✓

$$\text{eg. } mf(C^2, x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}, T_{x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}})$$

11

$$D^b \text{ Reps} \left(\begin{array}{c} \vdots \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\quad a_{1-1} \quad} \dots \rightarrow \vdots \\ \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\ \vdots \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\quad a_{2-1} \quad} \dots \rightarrow \vdots \\ \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\ \text{red box} \end{array} \right)$$

Square Committee

Literature review

$\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$ has a full strong exceptional collection
for

- w Brieskorn - Pham (ie. $w(x_1, x_n) = x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n}$) by Futaki - Ueda
- w chain by Hirano - Ouchi and independently Aramaki - Takahashi
- w invertible in 2-variables by Habermann - Smith
- w invertible in 3-variables by Kravets

If $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ij}}$ then define $w^T := \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_{ji}}$

$$\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w) \stackrel{\text{Aoo-cat}}{\cong} \text{FS}(\mathbb{C}^n, w^T)$$

for

- w Brieskorn - Pham by Futaki - Ueda
- w AOE by Takahashi
- w invertible in 2-variables by Habermann - Smith

(II) Exceptional collections for $\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$

let w be an elem. cleave from w , w/w invertible

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, w, \mathcal{T}_w) & & \\ \left\{ x_{n+1} = 1 \right\} \swarrow \cancel{\mathfrak{I}_{-}} & \text{fully} & \searrow \left\{ x_n = 1 \right\} \\ \text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w) & \text{faithful} & \mathfrak{I}_{+} \\ & \text{functors} & \text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w', \mathcal{T}_{w'}) \end{array}$$

Roughly, try to match up $\text{im}(\mathfrak{I}_{-})$ and $\text{im}(\mathfrak{I}_{+})$
in $\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$

But, their "sizes" are different in general

the length of \mathcal{E}_w is (a posteriori) $= \mu(w)$

Thm $\left[\begin{matrix} \text{Baldord-Favero-Katzarkov, 2019} \\ \text{Favero-K-Kelly, 2020} \end{matrix} \right]$ Variation of GIT

Assume w, w' are rel. by an elem. cleave and $\mu(w') \leq \mu(w)$.

$\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$ has a semiorth. decomposition

$$\langle \text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w', \mathcal{T}_{w'}), E_1, \dots, E_{\mu(w) - \mu(w')} \rangle$$

In particular, if $\mu(w') = \mu(w)$ the categories are equivalent

And # of exc. obj in any fec to $\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$ is $\mu(w)$

Con: $\text{mf}(\mathbb{C}^n, w, \mathcal{T}_w)$ has a fec \hookrightarrow strong in the equiv. case (Gorenstein)

Toy Example: $W = x^r y$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x=1\} & \swarrow & \searrow \{y=1\} \\ w=y & & w'=x^r \\ m(w)=0 \text{ smooth} & & m(w')=r-1 \end{array}$$

$$mf(C, y, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

||

0

$$mf(C, x^r, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

||

$$\langle E_1^{'}, \dots, E_{r-1}^{'} \rangle$$

Main result gives a different perspective on these $r-1$ obj
as $r-1$ copies of $mf(\overset{\text{fixed locus}}{\mathbb{P}_{\text{reg}} \cong \mathbb{C}^*}, 0, \overset{\text{coker } \lambda}{\mathbb{G}_m})$

$$\boxed{\text{origin} \quad \mathbb{G}_m \quad S^1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} D^b(\text{coh(pt)}) \\ \text{sy} \\ \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle \mathbb{C} \rangle \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_W & t \mapsto (t^{-r}, t, 1) \\ \text{||} & \\ \ker(\pi_W \xrightarrow{\pi_3} \mathbb{G}_m) & \text{i.e. } \text{coker } \lambda \cong \pi_3(\mathcal{T}_W) \cong \mathbb{G}_m \end{array}$$

Thank you for listening!